Can Flaxseed Help Satisfy Appetite in Women Subjected to Bariatric Surgery?
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Abstract

Background: Bariatric surgery is considered the most effective immediate weight loss method for the morbidly obese, despite widely reported weight regain after a few years. Appetite, satiety and satiation control are essential to maintaining a long-term result post-surgery. Dietary fatty acids composition may be implicated in the satiety. As flaxseed is a food high in linolenic acid, we aimed to verify the influence of flaxseed fat on appetite and satiety of women after bariatric surgery.

Material and methods: Six women who underwent bariatric surgery at least 2 years before participated in a single-blind crossover trial that compared the effect of two isocaloric meals on satiety, one containing whole golden flaxseed (high in polyunsaturated fatty acids and fiber) (G1) and another withdefatted flaxseed (high in fiber) (G2), with one week of washout period. This variable was estimated by visual analogue scales in both meals at baseline (T0), immediately after ingestion (T1) and 60, 120, and 180 minutes after the meal (T60, T120 and T180). Fasting anthropometric, body composition, laboratory tests (glucose and lipids) and dietary variables, were evaluated while fasting.

Results: The volunteers were obese and had excess central adiposity, even after two years of surgery and still showed habitual fibre intake below recommended levels. G1 had reduced hunger after 180 minutes compared to G2 (\(P=.046\)). Other parameters related to appetite and satiety did not differ between groups.

Conclusions: Less hunger was observed after 180 minutes in whole golden flaxseed meal compared with the defatted flaxseed meal, indicating that the whole golden flaxseed meal, possibly, supports obesity treatment in the long-term after bariatric surgery by controlling appetite and satiety sensations.
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Introduction

Obesity is a multifactorial disease characterized by excessive body fat that predisposes individuals to diseases such as dyslipidaemia, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, type 2 diabetes and some types of cancer. According to World Health Organization, in 2005, approximately 1.6 billion adults were overweight and 400 million were obese. It is estimated that by 2015 there will be approximately 2.3 billion overweight, and more than 700 million obese adults. There is a peak prevalence of obesity at around 50 to 60 years old in developed countries and in developing countries it affects people at around 40 to 50 years old.

Obese individuals who cannot lose weight through lifestyle changes or drug treatment are candidates for bariatric surgery. This intervention is indicated for patients with a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 40 or BMI ≥ 35 in the presence of high-risk comorbidity, such as sleep apnoea or uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, and patients with a BMI between 35 and 40 as their obesity will result in physical problems compromising their mobility and social life.

In addition to weight loss after surgery, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension and type 2 diabetes are improved or eliminated. Surgery completely changes the diet profile and its success arises not only from weight loss, but also the associated nutritional education, in order to avoid postsurgical problems such as nutritional deficiency. However, after the adaptation phase, food intake can achieve similar patterns to the habitual intake in the pre-operative phase. There are reports in the literature of weight regain after gastric bypass. Therefore, appetite, satiety and satiation control would be a maintenance long-term results strategy for the post surgery.

Satiety refers to cessation of hunger and reduces postprandial food intake until the next meal. Satiation prevents over-eating during individual meals, thereby avoiding deleterious consequences from incomplete digestion, as well as excessive disturbances in circulating levels of glucose, for instance. Satiety arises through various mechanisms stimulated by food presence in the gastrointestinal tract, with gastric distension and release of bowel peptides. High fibre and protein foods increase satiety, thus reducing energy food intake. Dietary fatty acids composition may be associated with satiety.
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) have a greater influence on satiety than monounsaturated (MUFA) and saturated fatty acids (SFA)\(^1\). Fat characteristics play an important role in controlling appetite and body weight. In this sense flaxseed stands out as a food high in alpha-linolenic acid (approximately 57% of all flaxseed fatty acids), with significant preventive function in cardiovascular diseases. It is also an essential source of soluble and insoluble fibres. Soluble fibre acts by reducing blood glucose and lipids, while the insoluble fraction improves bowel function, preventing constipation\(^1\).

Being a high PUFA and fibre food, flaxseed may control appetite, playing a role in weight loss and weight maintenance processes in patients after bariatric surgery. Moreover, it is important to know the fat composition in the usual diet of individuals, since the level of fat satiety may influence appetite\(^1\).

Thus, this study aims to verify whether the presence or absence of fat in flaxseed composition influences the appetite and satiety sensations. Furthermore, another purpose is to associate knowledge of habitual macronutrients dietary intake and lipid profile with appetite-related variables after flaxseed intake.

### Material and methods

#### Subjects

Volunteers were recruited and selected in the University Hospital Clementino Fraga Filho (HUCFF), in Rio de Janeiro (Brazil). We selected adult women treated at the HUCFF, who had undergone bariatric surgery (Y en Roux) at least two years before.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: malignant tumours, infectious diseases and visual disturbances that prevented completing the visual analogue scale (VAS). The recruitment was conducted by telephone, after collecting data from the medical records of the Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation from the Federal University of Rio de Janeiro.

The Ethics Committee of the HUCFF approved the protocol and all patients provided written informed consent.

#### Procedure

This was a single-blind randomized crossover trial. At the initial visit volunteers had to complete a general data questionnaire and were guided on how to complete three day food record (two weekdays and one weekend day)\(^1\). Food records were analysed by DietPro version 5 software\(^4\).

Visits were scheduled with a minimum interval of one week since the first one, in which the trial was held, and volunteers were told to maintain their usual physical activity.

On the test day, after 12 hours overnight fasting, anthropometric, body composition and laboratory variables were measured (7:00 am). Volunteers received randomized test meals (muffins containing whole golden flaxseed [G1] or defatted flaxseed [G2]) (7:30 am) and were consumed within a 30-minute period. A VAS was used to evaluate appetite and satiety, immediately before (T0) and after (T1) test meal consumption, and 60, 120, 180 minutes after meal, indicated as T60, T120 and T180. After a wash-out period of one week, volunteers returned for second trial. Satiety assessment was performed by comparing the T1 - T180, with 3 hours interval between meals.

Volunteers rated their appetite sensations, satiation, consumption of sweets, snacks, appetizers and fatty foods using a VAS validated by Flint et al\(^1\). Subjective feelings were recorded by placing a vertical line across 100 mm scales to indicate the intensity of what was being assessed. Quantification was made by measuring the distance from the left side to the volunteer’s mark with a millimetre ruler.

Test meals were prepared by researchers using the flaxseed supplied by the Cisbra Group\(^\circ\) Company. Two types of muffins containing whole golden flaxseed and defatted flaxseed were prepared. Both types of muffins had similar percentages of macronutrient and energy values. Other ingredients were identical for both groups, and both were given sugar free fruit juice to accompany the muffin. The amount of flaxseed in the muffins composition was 10 g per unit, however, the test meal was calculated individually, according to the resting energy expenditure (REE) of each volunteer using the WHO formula (1985)\(^4\), corresponding 1/6 of the REE, comprising 15-20% of REE in the form of flaxseed plus juice, equivalent to a breakfast energy value. The whole golden flaxseed contained 0.33 g of SFA, 0.6 g of MUFA and 2.26 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.86 g and n-6: 0.4 g), and the defatted flaxseed had 0.13 g of SFA, 0.4 g of MUFA and 1.2 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.0 g and n-6: 0.2 g).

Body weight was measured by the nutritionist using a Welmy\(^\circ\) digital scale with 300 kg capacity, with bare feet and wearing light clothing. Height was measured in centimetres using an anthropometric ruler. Body fat was determined using the WHO formula (1985)\(^4\), comprising 1/6 of the REE, comprising 15-20% of REE in the form of flaxseed plus juice, equivalent to a breakfast energy value. The whole golden flaxseed contained 0.33 g of SFA, 0.6 g of MUFA and 2.26 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.86 g and n-6: 0.4 g), and the defatted flaxseed had 0.13 g of SFA, 0.4 g of MUFA and 1.2 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.0 g and n-6: 0.2 g).

Body weight was measured by the nutritionist using a Welmy\(^\circ\) digital scale with 300 kg capacity, with bare feet and wearing light clothing. Height was measured in centimetres using an anthropometric ruler. Body fat was determined using the WHO formula (1985)\(^4\), comprising 1/6 of the REE, comprising 15-20% of REE in the form of flaxseed plus juice, equivalent to a breakfast energy value. The whole golden flaxseed contained 0.33 g of SFA, 0.6 g of MUFA and 2.26 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.86 g and n-6: 0.4 g), and the defatted flaxseed had 0.13 g of SFA, 0.4 g of MUFA and 1.2 g of PUFA (n-3: 1.0 g and n-6: 0.2 g).

Lipid profile and blood glucose were assessed at HUCFF by appropriately trained staff. Serum glucose, total cholesterol (mg/dL), high density lipoprotein (HDL-chol) (mg/dL) and triglycerides (TG) (mg/dL) were measured by an enzymatic colorimetric method using CELM\(^\circ\) and Katal\(^\circ\) commercial kits. Low density lipoprotein (LDL-
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Results

Of the 21 adult subjects initially screened, thirteen had difficulty in attending the tests and two volunteers dropped out for personal reasons after the first trial. The study was conducted with six adult women after bariatric surgery for obesity treatment at a minimum of 2 years (average time 3.7 years). Volunteers participated in both clinical trials and receive muffins containing whole golden flaxseed and defatted flaxseed in different weeks.

The anthropometric and laboratory characteristics of the volunteers are shown in Table 1. Women were classified as class I obese and overweight and had excess of central adiposity and total body fat. All patients were normoglycaemic and had normal lipid parameters.

Habitual dietary intake assessed by a three-day food record was normal in energy, carbohydrates and fats (Table 2). Protein intake was above the recommendation (0.8-1.0 g/kg/day), with an average intake of 0.85 g protein per kg of current weight. PUFA, MUFA and SFA intake were also in accordance with the recommendations. On the other hand, the fibre was lower than the recommended fibre intake.

The energy and nutrients intake of test meals are shown in Table 3. There were significant differences for PUFA (P=.018). It is worth mentioning that the most abundant fatty acid in flaxseed are PUFA, with a higher proportion of n-3 PUFA. Whereas the defatted flaxseed muffin has a lower amount of PUFA (1.2 g) compared with whole golden flaxseed muffin (2.26 g), the level of linolenic fatty acid is also lower in the defatted flaxseed meal.

No difference was observed (P=.05) between groups for the variables of, hunger, satisfaction, and need to eat fatty foods 180 minutes after muffins ingestion. Gastric fullness and satiation variables also showed no differences immediately after ingestion (Table 4). However, there was hunger reduction for 180 minutes (∗∗P<.05) in G1, which did not occur in G2 (Table 5).

According to Cohen’s d test, the effect size for the “hunger” variable was small at T0, verified by the absence of a difference between groups (Tables 4 and 5), and at T180 the effect size was medium. Applying the test in each group separately (T0 vs T180), the effect size remained medium, however, in G1 the percentile was situated between 66-69 and in G2 it was between 62-66, explaining the significant difference in G1 (Table 5).

Table 1 Anthropometric and laboratory variables (mean±SD) of women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>33.07±4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>95.33±9.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBW (l)</td>
<td>36.96±6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBF (%)</td>
<td>38.90±6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBM (kg)</td>
<td>49.76±8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>154.00±22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-chol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>78.20±14.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-chol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>62.33±8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>66.67±12.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>70.17±5.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: body mass index; LBM: lean body mass; TBF: total body fat; TBW: total body water; WC: waist circumference.

Table 2 Volunteers habitual dietary intake (mean±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy intake (kcal)</td>
<td>1264.10±231.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (%TEI)</td>
<td>50.89±12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (%TEI)</td>
<td>20.16±7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%TEI)</td>
<td>28.97±8.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (%TEI)</td>
<td>4.34±1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA (%TEI)</td>
<td>6.16±2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (%TEI)</td>
<td>6.14±2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g)</td>
<td>9.56±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mg/dL)</td>
<td>66.67±12.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>70.17±5.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA: saturated fatty acid; TEI: total energy intake.

Table 3 Energy and nutrient intake of the two test meals (mean±SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total energy intake</td>
<td>240.48±74.51</td>
<td>253.35±78.50</td>
<td>.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% TEI)</td>
<td>11.95±3.70</td>
<td>13.00±4.03</td>
<td>.465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% TEI)</td>
<td>64.70±20.04</td>
<td>69.60±21.56</td>
<td>.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% TEI)</td>
<td>23.32±7.23</td>
<td>17.40±5.40</td>
<td>.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (% TEI)</td>
<td>2.93±0.91</td>
<td>2.36±0.73</td>
<td>.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA (% TEI)</td>
<td>4.68±1.45</td>
<td>4.26±1.32</td>
<td>.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (% TEI)</td>
<td>14.34±4.45</td>
<td>7.69±2.39</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (g)</td>
<td>4.62±1.43</td>
<td>6.25±1.94</td>
<td>.130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid; SFA: saturated fatty acid; TEI: total energy intake. P-value differences between test meals were tested with t-test (independent samples) at 5% probability.
Discussion

Bariatric surgery is considered the most effective immediate weight loss method for the morbidly obese. However, it does not mean a guarantee of continued weight loss over time, since changes in eating habits do not occur necessarily due to patients undergoing surgery, resulting in inadequate weight loss or lost body weight recovery.

The volunteers in this study were class I obese and overweight and had bariatric surgery performed at a mean time of 3.7 years. In addition, they had marked central adiposity, which features a very high risk for metabolic complications associated with obesity.

Magro et al. conducted a prospective study in which they studied body weight recovery in 782 patients who had undergone bariatric surgery and found that 50% recovered the lost weight 24 months after surgery. Dapri et al. also reported the recovery of long-term weight in patients after bariatric surgery, due to the increase in dietary intake, since this time period the restriction is not very acute and meal volume is higher than in the post-operative period. Body weight recovery two years after surgery was also reported by Faria et al., where an average recovery of 8 kg was observed, and nutritional counselling was offered to these individuals in order to achieve weight loss again. It reinforces the fact that bariatric surgery does not lead to an obesity cure, thus nutritional counselling is important the not only immediately after surgery, when food intake is restricted and there is increased susceptibility to severe nutritional deficiencies, but also in long-term to minimize the recovery of body weight loss, which could lead to the same weight prior to surgery.

Despite the class I obesity observed in volunteers, they had normal fasting serum glucose and lipids. Ribeiro et al. followed up 80 women after bariatric surgery and found a significant blood glucose improvement after a year of surgery. Silva and Sanches studied the lipid profile of obese patients before and after bariatric surgery. They showed a significant reduction in serum total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides with an increased HDL-cholesterol, one year after surgery, but a significant correlation between the weight loss and improved lipid profile was not found.

The habitual dietary intake of the volunteers indicated that both macronutrients and lipid profile were similar to the nutritional recommendations. Protein intake per kilogram of body weight per day was adequate and fibre intake was below the recommendation (20 g to 30 g per day). Deficient fibre intake is one of the causes that can stop weight loss due to the fact that fibre leads to an increase in satiety.

Hunger, fullness, desire to eat something greasy, and satiation did not differ between groups. However, when analysing hunger sensation at different times for each test meal (T0 and T180), it was found that G2 did not differ for hunger, resulting in lower meal-induced satiety. This event did not occur in G1, whereas there was reduction of hunger during the same period, reflecting increased satiety induced by whole golden flaxseed. This difference could be due to the higher PUFA content in the whole golden flaxseed meal, emphasising the influence of this nutrient in satiety. It should be mentioned that flaxseed is rich in linolenic acid. Fibre in test meals could also lead to satiety, but fibre amount did not differ between groups.

High fat foods can lead to post-prandial satiety, being able to reduce the gastric emptying and decreasing appetite and energetic intake. Essah et al. conducted a study with obese subjects, comparing a high carbohydrate and low fat diet with a high fat and low carbohydrate diet and observed that individuals who ingested more fat had higher secretion of peptide YY, which inhibits energy intake. It showed that total fat intake can help to control appetite and satiety, but should be planned with caution, as it is high energy-nutrient dense. In the present study, total fat content did not differ between groups, only PUFA content.

Sales et al. evaluated different types of oils (olive oil, safflower and peanut) effect on food intake in normal weight normolipidaemic individuals and showed no significant difference in satiety and satiation among different groups or over time. Another study on overweight men found no difference in appetite, both baseline and postprandial, after PUFA, MUFAs and trans-fatty acids intake. However, Lawton et al. offered patients high oleic and linoleic fatty acid meals and the authors suggested a greater influence on satiety from linoleic fatty acids. Smeets and Westerterp-Plantenga also reported a significant role of linoleic acid in appetite control.

Parra et al. conducted a randomised study in which volunteers followed a weight control diet and received n-3
PUFAs (linolenic fatty acid) by eating lean or fat fish or by fish oil capsules, and observed that those who ingested more n-3 PUFAs felt greater gastric fullness compared with those who ingested a smaller amount of this nutrient, two hours post-prandial.

We suggest carrying out long-term studies to assess dietary intake and associate it with anthropometric variables and hormones related to appetite sensations. Research on these study characteristics is not easy to conduct, since recruitment of patients two years after bariatric surgery is difficult due to their distance from the medical team.

Conclusions

Our study results showed there was less hunger after 180 minutes after a whole golden flaxseed meal compared with a defatted flaxseed meal, indicating that the whole golden flaxseed meal, possibly, supports obesity treatment in the long-term after bariatric surgery by controlling appetite and satiety sensations. The study showed that volunteers were obese and had excess central adiposity even after two years of surgery and still showed habitual fibre intake below recommended levels, emphasising the importance of nutritional counselling in the long-term.
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